

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/845,851	04/30/2001	George Robert Hood	9511	3200
26890	7590 11/22/2004		EXAMINER	
JAMES M. STOVER			RUDY, ANDREW J	
NCR CORPORATION 1700 SOUTH PATTERSON BLVD, WHQ4			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
DAYTON, O		3627		
			DATE MAILED: 11/22/2004	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
•	09/845,851	HOOD, GEORGE ROBERT				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Andrew Joseph Rudy	3627				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin bly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed rs will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 A	August 2004.					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ Thi	s action is non-final.					
• •	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-6 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-6 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	awn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acc))☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 1) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documen 2. Certified copies of the priority documen 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documen application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applicationity documents have been received in the control of	on No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)		(DTO 440)				
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) LJ Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date		atent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/845,851 Page 2

Art Unit: 3627

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. Claims 1-6 are pending.
- 2. Applicant's August 13, 2004 response has been received. Thus, it is concluded Applicant has no prior art that predates the filing date of the present application.

Drawings

3. The drawings objection under 37 CFR 1.83(a) is withdrawn pursuant to Applicant's February 11, 2004 REMARKS.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Price et al. "College Accounting, Seventh Edition" (hereafter "Price")

Price discloses, e.g. pgs 28-41, 529,531, 966-982 (Fig. 27-5), a method measuring profit based on the factors of net interest revenue, other revenues (Fig. 27-5, line 4, "Operating Revenues"), direct expenses (Fig. 27-5, line 22, "Direct Expenses"), indirect expenses (Fig. 27-5, line 30, "Indirect Expenses"), and risk (Fig. 27-5, line 6, "Less Sales Returns and Allowances"), all set up to take advantage of flexible business rules.

Application/Control Number: 09/845,851 Page 3

Art Unit: 3627

Official Notice is taken that performing financial processing using computer software is common knowledge in the art.

To have provided business rules to calculate known variations of one of the factors, e.g. earning factors, would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art.

To have provided a method of performing financial processing for an account using software for a computer measuring profit based on the factors of net interest revenue, other revenues, direct expenses, indirect expenses and risk, to calculate known variations of one of the factors, e.g. earning factors, would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art. Doing such would incorporate common knowledge data along with common knowledge software.

Applicant's August 13, 2004 and February 11, 2004 REMARKS have been reviewed, but are not moot in light of the new rejection. In short, Applicant's profitability calculations are common knowledge variance for defining total income less total expenses. The account, event and organization attributes, e.g. future losses, direct and indirect expenses, claimed have been common knowledge criteria used within the business community for a period of time far exceeding Applicant's filing date. To have incorporated such common knowledge in the profitability calculations for Price, as modified by Official Notice, would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art.

5. Further pertinent reference of interest is noted on the attached PTO-892.

Art Unit: 3627

6. Applicant's October 24, 2004 Information Disclosure Statement has been reviewed.

Note the attached PTO-1449.

Conclusion

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Andrew Joseph Rudy whose telephone number is 703-308-7808. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday thru Friday, 7:30 a.m until 6 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mr. Robert P. Olszewski can be reached on (703) 308-5183. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Archew Joseph Rody